

Free Scientologists
Dipl.-Ing. Andreas Gross, Switzerland
FS Policy Letter of February 1, 2006

Remimeo

Rehab Don's Ethics Series No. 9
Dianetics Auditor Rehabilitation Series No. 10
Scientology Auditor Rehabilitation Series No. 26
Scientology Engram Prior Beginning Series No. 7

CHANGE OF THE AUDITOR'S CODE¹ – REMOVAL OF LRH!

I have come across a severe swindle of the CoS: A change of the auditor's code that all auditors get sworn to:

„25. I promise to cooperate fully with the **legal** organizations of Dianetics and Scientology as **developed by L. Ron Hubbard** in safeguarding the ethical use and practice of the subject **according to the basics of Standard Tech.**”²

became

„26. I promise to cooperate fully with the **authorized** organizations of Dianetics and Scientology in safeguarding the ethical use and practice of those subjects.“³

We can presume the following from that:

- **The new organizations are neither legal,**
- **but simply authorized by someone,**
- **and not developed this way by LRH,**
- **nor is the current expert decree based on standard tech.**

Instead of being legal they are "authorized"!?! Probably by the government! Not from LRH, however, because then they would be legal and wouldn't have to change the term.

¹ This essay has already been published by me in a similar way as a-codex.htm on my website www.FreieScientologen.de on August 18, 2004. The observation of this change was done by others, I simply picked up on it and published it.

² So the HCOPL 14 OCTOBER 1968 (as in the old OEC 4, page 111), the LRH-Class VIII-lecture Nr. 18 of 14. Okt. 1968, the revised HCOPL 14 OCTOBER 1968R and also the revised HCOPL 14 OCTOBER 1968RA, e.g. on page 1186 of the OEC Volume 4.

³ HCOPL 19. Juni 1980RA probably from 1982

At a first glance, this change seems to have come into existence by the revision of the auditor's code from 1976 to the version of 1980. So on June 19, 1980. After all, all current versions of the auditor's code have that date of revision. But then I found out the following, which makes the fraud even worse:

If you take a look at the auditor's code from the solo course of 1980⁴, then you will find an original 1968RA version, which also entails the addendum of item 23 but also the original version of item 25, which is now 26!!!

The new provision 23 states:

23. I promise to never falsify worksheets of sessions.

Since then, many auditors have been fired because they supposedly changed their auditing worksheets. Considering Ron's instruction that you should not and cannot keep a stenographic log, there is further room for interpretation of when you have a falsification and when you don't. Strictly speaking, an omission of important information is a falsification already. But what is important? Especially if a session is very busy because, for example, the PC is upset, the auditor has a lot to do to keep it in order. Especially in such a situation, there is no time to document all PC originations and auditor handlings of such. In practice you simply write: ARC-X and handled. But you could see this as a bad falsification and omission of important data. If you want to get rid of an auditor, it is easily done with this provision. It is an ambiguous clause that doesn't even look like one at first sight. But auditor's code violations show up in the list of serious crimes and, thus, provision 23 becomes leverage against auditors. It has been used many times already to degrade the best among us.

I quote the entire reference as follows:

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East, Sussex
HCO POLICY LETTER OF 14 OCTOBER 1968RA
REVISED 19 JUNE 1980
(ALSO HCOB 19 JUNE 1980)

Remimeo
Class VIII
All Auditors

THE AUDITOR'S CODE

The pledge of practitioners of pastoral counseling.

Required to be signed by the holders of or before the issuance of certificates for the certificates to be valid.

I hereby promise as an Auditor to follow the Auditor's Code.

1. I promise not to evaluate for the preclear or tell him what he should think about his case in session.
2. I promise not to invalidate the preclear's case or gains in or out of session.
3. I promise to administer only Standard Tech to a preclear in the standard way.
4. I promise to keep all auditing appointments once made.

⁴ It is most likely similar with other course packs from between 1980 and 1982.

5. I promise not to process a preclear who has not had sufficient rest and who is physically tired.
6. I promise not to process a preclear who is improperly fed or hungry.
7. I promise not to permit a frequent change of Auditors.
8. I promise not to sympathize with a preclear but to be effective.
9. I promise not to let the preclear end session on his own determinism but to finish off those cycles I have begun.
10. I promise never to walk off from a preclear in session.
11. I promise never to get angry with a preclear in session.
12. I promise to run every major case action to a floating needle.
13. I promise never to run any one action beyond its floating needle.
14. I promise to grant beingness to the preclear in session.
15. I promise not to mix the processes of Scientology with other practices except when the preclear is physically ill and only medical means will serve.
16. I promise to maintain Communication with the preclear and not to cut his comm or permit him to overrun in session.
17. I promise not to enter comments, expressions or enturbulence into a session that distract a preclear from his case.
18. I promise to continue to give the preclear the process or auditing command when needed in the session.
19. I promise not to let a preclear run a wrongly understood command.
20. I promise not to explain, justify or make excuses in session for any Auditor mistakes whether real or imagined.
21. I promise to estimate the current case state of a preclear only by Standard Case Supervision data and not to diverge because of some imagined difference in the case.
22. I promise never to use the secrets of a preclear divulged in session for punishment or personal gain.
- 23. I promise to never falsify worksheets of sessions.**
24. I promise to see that any fee received for processing is refunded following the policies of the Claims Verification Board, if the preclear is dissatisfied and demands it within three months after the processing, the only condition being that he may not again be processed or trained.
25. I promise not to advocate Scientology only to cure illness or only to treat the insane, knowing well it was intended for spiritual gain.
26. I promise to cooperate fully with the legal organizations of Dianetics and Scientology as developed by L. Ron Hubbard in safeguarding the ethical use and practice of the subject according to the basics of Standard Tech.
27. I promise to refuse to permit any being to be physically injured, violently damaged, operated on or killed in the name of "mental treatment".
28. I promise not to permit sexual liberties or violation of the mentally unsound.
29. I promise to refuse to admit to the ranks of practitioners any being who is insane.

Auditor: _____

Date: _____

Witness: _____ Place: _____

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:nt.bk
Copyright © 1968, 1980
by L. Ron Hubbard

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

=====

So there seems to have been a secret revision of the

HCO PL 14 OCTOBER 1968RA

to a 1982RB, which is not marked as one in order to cover up the fraud! Instead, the new version receives the following name, despite the usual nomenclature:

HCO PL OF 19 JUNE 1980RA

This means the date was changed! It looks like the reference was originally written in 1980 and has since been revised twice. However, it was written in 1968 and has been revised three times since. According to my current findings, this third and secret revision took place in 1982.

I showed this to a friend yesterday who was really horrified by that!

The fact that they remove LRH and the standard tech from the auditor's code is being intensified by the cover-up of the date of the revision and the revision itself!

Andreas Gross
for the
Independent Scientologists