

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO POLICY LETTER OF 19 APRIL 1968

(REVISED -- see HCO PL 7 Apr 70RA Volume VIII -- 321)

Remimeo
Qual Div Hats
Tech Div Hats
Good supply
to Review

(HCO Pol Ltr of 9 April 1968, amended and reissued)

HGC PC REVIEW AUDITING FORM

WHEN THE REVIEW AUDITOR RECEIVES A PC FOR REVIEW, HE INSTANTLY AND IMMEDIATELY GETS THE PC INTO SESSION AND HANDLES THE FOLLOWING FORM.

(The Auditor goes down the Form and handles each item as he goes along. He does not assess first and then, later, handle the items that read. The Form is ended on a Free Needle. It is done in the same style as L4A, HCO Bulletin 9 January 1968. This Green Form is handled as the first standard action and is done on a meter and significant TA is noted on the line on which it occurred. The list is in order of importance.)

NAME _____ DATE _____ TIME _____

NAME OF AUDITOR _____

1. FOLDER IN HAND _____ LAST AUDITOR'S NAME _____

2. CONTAINS BEGINNING ASSESSMENT FORM _____

3. PC OR PRE OT ROUTED INTO REVIEW AT CHARGE _____

PC OR PRE OT SENT BY EXAMINER _____

4. ARC BREAK
SESSION ASSESSMENT _____

ENVIRONMENT _____

4. (b) NO AUDITING _____

5. IGNORED ORIGINATIONS _____

6. MISSED WITHHOLDS _____

CLEAN _____

6. (a) OVERTS _____

7. PRESENT TIME PROBLEM _____

EVALUATION _____

INVALIDATION _____

CLEAN _____

8. MISUNDERSTOOD WORD OR SYMBOL _____

CLEAN _____

9. COMMITTING CONTINUOUS PT OVERTS _____

CLEAN _____

10. CLEANED CLEANS _____

a. SOMETHING THAT ISN'T THERE _____

b. TRYING TO PUT SOMETHING WHERE THERE IS NOTHING _____

c. FALSE ASSERTION _____

d. ASSESSED BEYOND RIGHT ITEM _____

e. WRONG DATE _____

f. INCOMPLETE LIST _____

g. OVERLIST _____

h. LOWER LEVELS UNFLAT _____

i. LOWER LEVELS OVERRUN _____

j. LOWER LEVELS NEVER RUN _____

k. HAS A POWER PROCESS BEEN LEFT UNFLAT? _____

l. HAS A POWER PROCESS BEEN OVERRUN? _____

m. FALSE ATTESTATION _____

n. UNTRUE ASSERTS ABOUT CASE _____

o. TOLD A LIE _____

p. WASN'T SURE _____

q. STUCK PICTURE _____

r. ALL BLACK _____

s. MISUNDERSTOOD TECH _____

t. MISUNDERSTOOD CASE CONDITION _____

u. EXPERIMENTING _____

v. ALTERING TECH _____

w. DOING SOMETHING ELSE WITH TECH _____

x. HAVE YOU TYPED, HANDWRITTEN OR TAPED COPIES OF ANY CONFIDENTIAL
MATERIALS? _____

11. PROCESS LEFT UNFLAT _____
DATE OF SESSION _____
PROCESS LEFT UNFLAT _____

DATE OF SESSION _____
PROCESS LEFT UNFLAT _____
DATE OF SESSION _____
PROCESS LEFT UNFLAT _____
DATE OF SESSION _____

12. PROCESS OVERRUN _____
DATE OF SESSION _____
PROCESS OVERRUN _____
DATE OF SESSION _____

13. NON-STANDARD PROCESSES _____

14. BAD AUDITING COMM CYCLE _____

15. CODE BREAKS _____

16. HIDDEN STANDARD (WHAT WOULD HAVE TO HAPPEN FOR YOU TO
KNOW SCIENTOLOGY WORKS) _____

SPOTTED _____

17. PC AND DRUGS (TAKING ANY DRUGS) _____

-
18. ALCOHOL _____
-
19. ENOUGH SLEEP _____
- ENOUGH FOOD (BREAKFAST) _____
- (LUNCH) _____
- (DINNER) _____
20. MIXED THERAPIES (ANY OTHER TREATMENT IN PROGRESS) _____
-
21. CONNECTED TO A SUPPRESSIVE PERSON _____
-
22. CONNECTED TO A SUPPRESSIVE GROUP _____
-
23. HERE TO GET DATA FOR SOMEONE ELSE _____
24. HERE BECAUSE SOMEONE ELSE DEMANDED IT _____
25. FORMER RELEASE _____
-
26. FORMER THETAN EXTERIOR _____
-
-
27. SELF AUDITING DURING INTENSIVE _____
-
28. BEING AUDITED BY SOMEONE ELSE DURING INTENSIVE OTHER THAN
HGC AUDITOR _____
29. CRIMINAL RECORD OR CRIMES FOR WHICH YOU COULD BE ARRESTED _____
-
30. INSANE ASYLUM HISTORY _____
- SHOCK TREATMENT HISTORY _____
31. HERE TO BE CURED OF SOMETHING NOT MENTIONED _____
-
32. UNPAID DEBTS TO ORGS _____
33. KNOWLEDGE OF A CRIME AGAINST SCIENTOLOGY _____

34. WHAT WOULD HAPPEN IF SCIENTOLOGY WORKED ON EVERYONE _____

35. ANYTHING UPSETTING ABOUT THIS REVIEW _____

36. HAS ANYTHING BEEN SUPPRESSED? _____

37. HAS ANYTHING BEEN INVALIDATED? _____

38. HAS ANYTHING BEEN RUSHED? _____

39. HAS ANYTHING BEEN MISSED? _____

40. PC STATEMENT OF THE TROUBLE AS IT IS NOW _____

41. READS I COULD NOT CLEAN UP _____

42. BOOK OF CASE REMEDIES (IF PC NOT SOLVED BY THIS POINT) _____

43. OTHER ACTIONS CASE OFFICER HAD TO TAKE _____

44. FALSE REPORTS _____

FINISH TA POSITION _____ FINISH TIME _____

TOTAL TA DIVS DURING REVIEW _____ TOTAL TIME _____

PC TO ETHICS _____

PC TO HGC _____

RECOMMENDATIONS _____

DATE _____ CASE OFFICER SIGN _____

EXAMINER FINALLY DIRECTS

TO ETHICS _____ TO HGC _____

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:jc.rd
Copyright © 1968
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

[The earlier HGC Pc Review Auditing Form, HCO PL 13 October 1966, Issue III, page 184, was amended and reissued as HCO PL 26 January 1968 which made the following changes: the beginning explanatory text and Numbers 1, 3, 11 and 12 were changed to read the same as the above HCO PL; Items a-q were added to Number 10, which in the above issue became f,g, e, k, l, m, n, o, p, q, r, s, t, u, v, w, x, respectively.

The 26 January 1968 issue was amended by HCO PL 15 March 1968, same title, which changed Number 10 to read the same as the above HCO PL.

A further amendment was made by HCO PL 9 April 1968 which changed Numbers 7 and 6 (a) to the text in the above HCO PL.

An additional amendment, HCO PL 19 April 1968, added Number 4 (b) above.

The above issue was amended by HCO PLs dated 16 December 1968 (which changed the title to Green Form), 15 May 1969, 7 September 1969, 7 April 1970, and 8 August 1970, all of which were done by staff, not LRH.

A further revision was issued on 17 September 1974 which was revised by HCO PL 7 April 1970RA, Revised 29 September 1974, Green Form, which is in Volume VIII, page 321.]