

Cont Justice Chief	Info: ED Int	5. February 2007
_____	Info: Int Justice Chief EU	
Dipl.-Ing. Andreas Gross	Info: HCO AOSH EU	
Kuefer Gasse 7	Info: DSA ZURICH Org	
CH 6315 Ober Aegeri	Info: Chaplain AOSH EU & Chaplain AOSH UK	
Andreas_Grosz@gmx.de	Info: Keeper of Tech and Policy Knowledge Network (FCB)	
My next Org: ZURICH	Info: Senior HCO Network (FCB)	
Switzerland	Info: Ethics File Andreas Gross HBG Org, CC DUS & ZH	
	Info: Flag Data Files FDF	

ORDERS, QUERY OF

re SNR HCO EU ETHICS ORDER 1652 (December 6, 1999)

“ERKLÄRUNG ZUR UNTERDRÜCKERISCHEN PERSON ANDREAS GROSS”

(Suppressive Person Declare Andreas Gross)

Refs: HCO PL 13 JAN 79 “ORDERS, ILLEGAL AND CROSS” &
HCO PL 15 DEC 69 “ORDERS, QUERY OF”

“Instead of putting the order into effect he should query...”

Placing an org or person in an incorrect condition comes under this policy.” L.R.H.

HCO PL 2 JUN 65 ISSUE I “WRITING OF AN ETHICS ORDER”

“When writing an Ethics Order, don't ARC break it's readers by leaving out the data. ...

Where did it happen? Is it in our area? What did he do? Who did he do it to? What's the evidence? ...

Don't be unspecific or you leave people in a huge mystery. ...

Always put in what you know, nothing you don't know and only what you have evidence or witnesses for. Ethics Orders are issued on real data, not opinion.” L.R.H.

With this action it should be well understood that the consequences as laid down in SNR HCO EU ETHICS ORDER 1652 can not be in effect until such time that the accusations and assumptions as found in this ORDER are supported with proven and verifiable facts. Also my counter arguments should not continued to be ignored as they have been till now, in fact they should be addressed and disproven with exact data and evidence if any inconsistencies are found.

Any person who is reading this should be aware of that an ORDERS, QUERY OF does not have to be approved by anyone prior to be valid! In fact anyone following and executing SNR HCO EU ETHICS ORDER 1652 can be held responsible for doing so.

“IT DOES NOT RELIEVE ONE OF RESPONSIBILITY WHEN ONE EXECUTES A DESTRUCTIVE ORDER. The one who follows it is in fact far more guilty than the issuer since the one following it is right there, able to OBSERVE whereas the issuer may not be.” L.R.H.

Attachments:

1. SNR HCO EU ETHICS ORDER 1652 “SUPPRESSIVE PERSON DECLARE ANDREAS GROSS” - Dec 6, 1999 (in German)
2. Brief overview to defence from Andreas Grosz - Feb 17, 2000 (in German)
3. Write up from Telse Groß (wife to Andreas) - Jan 31, 2000 (in German)
4. Write up from Frank Pfingstner - Feb 1, 2000
5. 3rd Dec 1991 LC HBG confirms, that no Issue Authority on my hat writeup is necessary.
6. 6. Nov 1999 LC CC DUS confirms, that no Issue Authority on my hat writeup is necessary.
7. KR on Thorsten Rode from 14th Feb 1999 on theft of big FSSO-FSM-Award
8. KR on Thorsten Rode by my wife Telse from 1st Feb 2007 on theft of FSMC-checks and 2 attachments.

9. 3 Dec 1992 – by ED Mission Bremen Karl-Heinz Vogel for my FSM-Hat-Writeup (the same mentioned in the SP-Declare!!!).
10. 14 Dec 1992 – by ED ZURICH Org Vreni Schönenberger on my FSM-Hat-Writeup (the same mentioned in the SP-Declare!!!).

Commendations (just a short collection from more than a hundred commendations!):

11. 17 Feb 1988 – 70 New people on the bridge, good PR for Scn, Black-PR-Handling and being Field Auditor with a monthly income of 5 500 DM.
12. 25 Feb 1988 – signing up 4 people for the Sea Org.
13. 31 March 1988 – by Field Exec Int for being the Top International FSM (just one of several similar)
14. 15 Oct 1988 – for working on the estate of the new org building for a quarter of a year for no material exchange
15. 15 April 1989 – a wooden plaquette by ED Int for outstanding contributions in KSW as an FSM
16. 28 Sept 1989 – by CSI Flag Bureaux for my contribution in making Hamburg Org. St. Hill Size as an FSM.
17. 16 March 1990 – by PES HBG: for our contribution as THE FSMs for the HBG Org becoming the No 1 Class IV Org WW.
18. 23 June 1990 – by D/PRO DSA GA for changing the message in an Entheta-TV-show towards Theta and safepointing Scientology for millions.
19. 9 Feb 1991 – from Exec Council HBG for FSMing in the amount of more than 1 900 000 DM on new people on to the bridge.
20. 29 Sept 1997 – by Chaplain Marylene (Sea Org) for giving assists to another Sea Org Member in Hospital.
21. 19 Sept 1999 – Commendation of this same SO-Member, whom I saved her life after a heavy heart attack by an thorough Assist.

Dear Sir,

While looking back at some things recently I encountered the fact that several troubles I had gotten into were caused by myself and due to my own ignorance and failure to apply LRH Policy. One of these was HCO PL 15 DEC 69 “ORDERS, QUERY OF”.

- A) The order being queried is SNR HCO EU ETHICS ORDER 1652 SUPPRESSIVE PERSON DECLARE ANDREAS GROSS and was issued and written by Cont Justice Chief EU (not named in order), subsequently it was approved by the IJC.
- B) The reason it would result in loss or destruction if put into effect:
 - 1-. The order if implemented would lose to the group a Top International FSM, who started (together with his wife Telse) more than 220 new people on the bridge (more than 40 of them up to Clear and above), as can be seen by several commendations attached.
 - 2-. The way this ORDER is put together is in severe violation of basic LRH policy, and in particular HCO PL 2 JUN 65 ISSUE I “WRITING OF AN ETHICS ORDER”. The ORDER contains primarily opinion and generalizations, and they are by no means supported with evidence that would justify the issuance of this ORDER.
 - 3-. This ORDER is totally in violation of HCOPL 27 Sep 66 II THE ANTISOCIAL PERSONALITY as it miss to prove any of the 12 attributes of an SP, but also ignores the existence of all the 12 attributes of a social personality on my side. In Addition it violates the HCOPL 1. Sep. 65 VII ETHICS PROTECTION. This destroys the justice system of Scientology and by this unmocks the basis within Scientology for self correction and KSW: as other Scientologists reading this Order become hopeless regarding their similar purposes to disseminate Scientology broadly or correct outnesses in Scientology, apply KSW as I did.

- C) The problem the order sought to resolve was to solve the conflict between the Hamburg Org and its TOP-FSM Andreas on outstanding FSM-Commission in the amount of hundreds thousands EUR. It even seems, that someone on staff had stolen some FSMC-Checks, which was revealed recently by the tax authorities in Germany.

The proof below of the fact that I am only interested in and promoting LRH standard tech is my recommendation to resolve the problem stated above.

Below I have given responses to various comments as found on the SNR HCO EU ETHICS ORDER 2141. (Par. lists the paragraphs as found on the ORDER)

THE ACTUAL FACTS

- Par. 2-4. (see SP Declare) The opposite is true: I only got commendations for this FSM-Hatwrite-Up as a Top-International-FSM (see attached 2 of them), there was never any cramming or correction naming any fault in it. I would correct the write-up, if someone names any out-policy point. – The criticised layout and the contents was approved by the LRH-Communicator of the Hamburg Org in writing: she wrote, no Issue Authority is necessary (attached 3. Dec 91). The same was confirmed later by the LRH-Comm CC DUS (see attached with the date 6. Nov 1999). The SPs don't like an FSM coming in power and applying the power-condition and write up the hat and put it into the hands of other FSMs. – Will someone really declare a Top Int FSM with power production for a formal dispute on **the design** of his hat write-up?!! Who?
- Par. 5 1st part No mentioning of the time of the Bk1-session: This was my first auditing in April 1987: Before I had any auditor's training in Scientology. I just had read the Dianetics Book, made some notes and audited a friend of mine. She immediately ran whole track and as she was taking medicine, she did not come through the engram. Both were new data for me: On whole track and PC on drugs was nothing written in DMSMH. So I had done as good as I could. All in all this session was a big success for the PC and the Auditor: We knew now, that we have lived before – I see this as power! How many readers of book-1 do you know, who just jumped in and audited? Will someone really declare a green book auditor for daring to apply LRH and audit and making mistakes regarding later discoveries? Who is the accuser? 12 years later?
- Par 5 2nd part: I did not “had Sex with my PC”, but instead “audited my girl-friend”. First we started the 2D and later we decided to co-audit. This was 1988. But no one has to stop his 2D because of co-auditing! Who attacks me for this old cycle? Come out of your closet!
- Par 6 I wrote hundreds of Knowledge and even Crime Reports on the Excecs of the Hamburg Org, as they were not willing to be in Exchange with me as an FSM. With this THEY stopped the successful dissemination of **one to two new business men weekly**, we started on the bridge in Hamburg in the beginning of the 90s. These first starts lead to Hamburg Org being the No 1 Org WW and finally to St. Hill Size. – When the org stopped paying the FSMC the stats of the org crashed and never rehabbed. Recently I got the news from the tax authorities in Germany , that the Hamburg Org claims to have written and issued FSMC-Checks for me which never arrived at our house. Instead of clearing this up - what was even ordered finally by CO CMO Int Marc Yager - Thorsten Rohde, Field Control Sec stopped any attempts to recalculate the FSMC and clear anything up. (I know that there is a KR on this destructive order made by Thorstens junior Dr. Hans Jelitto to Int). Thorsten was already reported by me for his proved crime, that he used an FSM-Award of the Freewinds for himself, instead handing it to me, whom it belongs. – Instead of investigating the 3rdP between the Org and us as FSMs, I am accused of “criticising Org Execs”, which is an unspecific critic in itself.

Par 7 I did not get “several possibilities” to defend myself, as my wife Telse wrote in attached letter from 31st Jan 2000. No Bills of Particular, no time for any defence. The Comm Ev was out-policy and off-line. I should have driven 500 km to be in time within a few hours! Incredible! – Although I asked for a board of review afterwards, this was never granted.

The accusations listed as #1–6 following above are unsubstantiated by any facts at all.

I have on the contrary witnesses and success stories to prove that I have been engaged in applying LRH standard tech. (see attached 12 Commendations, a small selection from 100+)

How is it to be explained that after having been a very appreciated and successful Top-International-Field Auditor and FSM during a period of 20 years, that all of a sudden one turns into a Suppressive Person without even having a track of earlier Suppressive Acts?

And how can I be an SP although I had lots of case gain and finally went Clear?

“In short a staff member can get away with murder so long as his statistic is up and can't sneeze without a chop if it's down.

To do otherwise is to permit some suppressive person to simply Ethics chit every producer in the org out of existence.

When people do start reporting a staff member with a high statistic, what you investigate is the person who turned in the report. ...

Ethics must use all org discipline only in view of the production statistic of the staff member involved. ...

To the staff member this means-if you do your job you are protected by Ethics. And if you aren't so protected and your statistic is high, cable me.” L.R.H.

(from HCO PL 1 SEPT 65 ISSUE VII “ETHICS PROTECTION”)

The product of the treatment that I have received factually is:

“... to permit some suppressive person to simply Ethics chit every producer in the org out of existence.” L.R.H.

And what should have been done is:

“When people do start reporting a staff member with a high statistic, what you investigate is the person who turned in the report.” L.R.H.

To apply LRH on this cycle would include that:

1. ***Withdraw this SNR HCO EU ETHICS ORDER 1652 (December 6, 1999) “ERKLÄRUNG ZUR UNTERDRÜCKERISCHEN PERSON ANDREAS GROSS” from all orgs as it is violating basic LRH policy as to how Ethics Orders are to be written and applied. Although FULLY realizing that this HCO Order - due to this query - is NOT in effect!***
2. ***Calculate and pay the outstanding FSMC and handle the reported theft by Thorsten Rohde.***
3. ***Find out, who attacked me and investigate this questionable person, as it will be the 3rd Party between the Hamburg Org and its FSMs and responsible for the downfall of the former No. 1 Org WW, which went St. Hill Size due to the intro line by Telse and Andreas Gross.***

Much Love,

Andreas Gross