Freie Scientologen Dipl.-Ing. Andreas Groß, Schweiz FS Bulletin 24. October 2006

Remimeo

Common Mis-Conceptions on the Overt-Motivator Sequence

In the pre-Scientology religions and philosophies is a concept called karma:

What you do to someone (as an overt) is what you get back. This is also called the "law of cause and effect".

LRH just assumes, that one is familiar with the state of philosophy and then just gives us a hint, what is wrong with it and gives a deeper insight.

In his famous tech dict definition he does not say:

If you do an overt, you will get a motivator. No. That would simply be a rewording of the karma-concept.

LRH gives a deeper insight by the following definitions in the tech dict:

OVERT-MOTIVATOR SEQUENCE, 1. if a fellow does an overt, he will then believe he's got to have a motivator or that he has had a motivator. (AHMC 2, 6012C31)

You see: there is no set sequence. It can be both ways: The pc thinks he had a motivator before he did the overt. Or he thinks, he got one afterwards.

And another difference to the law of karma: Here is not stated, that he GETS a motivator. He just THINKS he gets or got one. Of course, if you think that, you will often also get one. Postulated

Now the second definition in the Tech Dict.

2. the sequence wherein someone who has committed an overt has to claim the existence of motivators. The motivators are then likely to be used to justify committing further overt acts. (PXL Gloss)

He claims the existence of motivators and by such postulates them.

In a later lecture (also one of the Level 2 tapes) LRH says this:

"Having learned the lesson that one will harm oneself if one attacks, we get the overt-motivator sequence. If you teach enough people this, you will have a civilization, but they will all be enslaved. They will all be trapped, and none of them will be able to observe clearly or decide clearly or to act decisively. Sooner or later they will all go crazy. That is really all that is wrong with the human mind. The only real penalty of attack is that if you attack something, it will disappear. There is no liability, actually, in attacking anything, but there is tremendous liability in not attacking. Overt attack, as opposed to uncontrolled attack left on automatic, doesn't do anything except get rid of havingness. If it was undesired havingness, what is the difference? [LRH Lecture 3.4.62; The Overt-Motivator Sequence]

On OT II one can finally run out the implant, which installed the overt-motivator-sequence¹. The urge to postulate the effect after being cause.

But Ron did not left the PCs alone with this implant, who are not ready for OT II. He gave them data on the o-m-seq, so that they are able to destimulate themselves and leave the agreement with the implant. He did it with the above mentioned quotes.

But today the church of Scientology PROMOTES the existence of the Karma Law and falsely calls it the OVERT-MOTIVATOR SEQUENCE. As if it would be just another name for the same concept. But Ron did not created new technical nomenclature just to have new words for the same concepts. He created the technical terms to avoid the identification with older and former practices.

Proof of this redefinition was difficult until now, as this was usually a verbal misinterpretation of the original LRH-data. But today we have a churchie-website, which promotes Dianetics by the "Anatomy of Human Mind Course": www.humanmind.org

This course is based on HCO Information Letter 2. Sept. 1964 Anatomy of the Human Mind Course. In this reference was published the structure of the Div-6-course. The churchies used this structure and created more than a dozen 30-minutes-TV-films on each chapter of the course. But the deviated from the original concept:

Instead of the 9th evening on "Service Facsimile" the churchies made a film on "The Overt-Motivator Sequence". The first puts the pc at cause, the latter at effect.

In their 10th film (they added an introduction as the no 1 film) they lecture on Overts, Withholds, criticism, blows, motivator (minute 18 of the tape), justification, blaming and such. But not about ethics: They define Overt as a "transgression against the moral code of a group"!

Left out the concept of ethics! Which is the new development of LRH. Just refer to the old concept of the former practices (former religions): We tell you what is good and what is bad: Moral code.

But worse: what they offer as the definition of overt-motivator-sequence is identical to the karma-law: They call it "law of interaction: you do an overt and get a motivator" (22nd minute). Refers to the bible: "he who lived by the sword died by the sword" (24'). "Newtons law of interaction: if you take the red ball and drop it against the blue ball, the blue ball will come back and hit the red ball." (24')

They refer to the false militarists idea, that one can destroy an enemy, without the fear, that he could hit back.

Again the LRH-quote on this:

"Having learned the lesson that one will harm oneself if one attacks, we get the overtmotivator sequence. If you teach enough people this, you will have a civilization, but they will all be enslaved. They will all be trapped, and none of them will be able to observe clearly or decide clearly or to act decisively. Sooner or later they will all go crazy."

That's what the church is promoting today! The old psychiatric concept of the Stimulus-response-mechanism. With this they enforce the o-m-seq implant on their publics. This makes one crazy and down tone!

_

¹ The Forerunner O/W GPM

We as scientologist should fully understand the original LRH-concept: it is just an implant. If we also believe in it, agree with it, it will be restimulated. - People who have never heard about the "Karma-law" or the bible: "he who lived by the sword died by the sword" or "Newtons law of interaction" are better off. - If they heard of it, we should inform them, it is just another control-mechanism. Not much worth. Can be audited. You can get rid of it!

Andreas Groß für die Freien Scientologen

Copyright © 2006 by Dipl.-Ing. Andreas Groß Alle Rechte vorbehalten.